EXHIBIT 2

STATE OF ILLINOIS



ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

October 20.2000

Scats of Illinois, Department of Transportation, Patitioner,

VE.

Morfolk Southern Corporation, Respondent.

Petition for Interim Order t O install once the : railroad's existing cantilever far side overheard : traffic signal indicators for northbound traffic : signal indicators for northbound traffic on IL 153 : (DOT 724 592N) near the at-grade crossing of tracks located in the city Of Belleville. St. : Clair County, Illinois.

T98-0633

JO ALL PARTIES OF RECORD:

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find a copy of the Order entered by the Commission in the above entitled matter on October 18, 2000.

Director of Processing

and information

33

Rick Korte, Hearina Examiner John Blair, Railroad Section Staff

527 East Capital Avenue, P.O. Box 19280, Springfield, Illinois 62794-9280, [TDD # (217) 782-7434]

STATE OF ILLINOIS

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

State of Illinois, Department of Transportation Pelitioner,

VS.

Norfolk **Southern Reilway** Company, **Respondent.**

T28-0033

Petition for Interim Order lo install onto the railroad's existing cantilever far side overhead traffic signal indicators for northbound traffic on IL159 (DOT 724 592N) near the at-grade crossing of tracks located in the City of Belleville.

St. Clair County, IL

ORDER

By the Commission:

On April 3, 1998, the Illinois Department of Transportation ("Department") filed its Petition with the Illinois Commerce Commission ("Commission") naming therein the Norfolk Southern Railway Company ("Company") as a Respondent. The Petitioner requested an Interim Older of the Commission requiring the installation of traffic signals on the same cantilever as the railroad flashing light signals at the IL159 grade crossing (DOT' 724 592N) located in the City of Belleville ("City"), St. Clair County, Illinois. This was the only issue presented in the Department's petition.

On April **29, 1998, notice of** a **June** 2. 1998, Hearing date was **served upon the Parties.**

On May 22, 1996, a motion for continuance was filed by the Company. The case was continued until July 7, 1998.

On June 17, 1998, a motion for a second continuance was filed by the Company.

On June 29, 1998, a Heating on the Company's motion for a second continuance was held by a duly authorized Hearing Examiner who took the matter under advisement.

-1-

APR-16-2001 11:47

On June 30, 1998, the Hearing Examiner Issued a denial of the Company's mutton for a second continuance.

On July 7 and 8, 1998, pursuant to the rules of the Commission. the Department's Petition came on for Hearing before a duly authorized Hearing Examiner at the Commission's Office in Springfield, Illinois. At the Hearing the Department and Company were represented by counsel. A member of the Commission's Railroad Section ("Staff") entered an eppearence es a witness. The Department presented oral and documentary evidence in support of its Petition and the Company presented oral and documentary evidence in opposition of the Petition. Staff teatified in support of the Petition. The record was marked 'Heard and Taken" on July 8, 1998.

On July 27, 1998, pursuant to the Commission's Rule6 of Practice, 83 III. Adm. Code 200.820. a Hearing Examiner's Proposed Interim Order was served on the Parties.

On July 30, 1998, the Company fikd a Request for Extension of Time to file a brief on exceptions to the Hearing Examiner's Proposed Interim Order.

On August 1, 1998, a Hearing Examiner's Ruling was issued granting the Company Request for Extension of Time for filing of exceptions and replies.

On August 17.1998, the Department filed an exception to the Hearing Examiner's Proposed Interim Order which requested an eighteen (18) month time period be used in the Interim Order for the Department and Company to complete their required work.

On August 21, 1998, the Company filed its Motion for Oral Argument and statement in Support of the Motion and its Brief on Exceptions to the Hearing Examiner's Proposed Interim Order.

On August 27, 1998, the Department filed its reply to the Company's Motion for Oral Argument and statement in Support of that Motion and its reply to the Company's Brief on Exceptions.

The Hearing Examiner considered the Parties exceptions and replies and recommended the Commission denied the Company's Motion far Oral Argument The Hearing Examiner stated that the Company's positions in its Motion and Brief revisited the arguments previously made on the record before the Hearing Examiner.

IDOT

T98-0033

On September 23, 1999, The Commission denied the Company's Motion for Oral Argument

On October 5, 1998, the Commission entered its Interim Order.

On November 20. 1998. the Company timely filed its Motion For Reheating or Reconsideration. That Motion For Reheating or Reconsideration was denied by the Commission on December 16, 1998.

On January 15, 1999, the Company filed its Petition for Judicial Review with the Circuit Court for the Seventh Judicial Circuit (Sangamon County, Illinois), docketed as 99-MR-16.

On April 19, 1999, the Circuit Court entered an Order staying the Commission's Interim Older of October 5, 1998, pending the Circuit Court's review of the Company's Petition For Review.

On September 2. 1999, the Circuit Court heard the oral arguments of counsel for the parties. Thereafter, prior to entry of the Circuit Court's Order, the parties entered into further discussion and negotiations in an effort to resolve outstanding issues.

On August 17, 2000, the Circuit Court entered an agreed Order remanding this case to the Commission in accordance with and by agreement of the parties.

The Commission, having given due consideration to the entire record herein, finds that

- (1)' the Commission has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this proceeding;
- the recitals of fad as sat forth in the prefetory portion of this Order are supported by the record and are hereby adopted as findings of fact;
- that within the City a public highway known es IL159 crosses at-grade the mainline track of the Company; IL159 extends in a general north-south direction: the IL159 grade crossing Is identified as DOT 724 592N; immediately south of the crossing IL159 intersects with a public highway known as Douglas Avenue; Douglas Avanuc extends in a general east-west direction; the intersection of IL159 and Douglas Avenue is equipped with highway traffic signals; IL159 and the highway traffic signals are both under

T\$8-0033

the jurisdiction of the Department, Douglas Avenue is under the jurisdiction of the City;

- light signals and gates; tha Company is responsible for maintenance of the railroad warning devices; the operation of the railroad warning devices are interconnected with the operation of me atoresaid highway traffic signals; the highway nil grade crossing warning system is currently designed to provide for a minimum of 20 seconds simultaneous preemption time for the normal operation of through trains at the subject crossing; this design and the minimum warning time are consistent with the results of the Departments engineering study conducted at this location: a chart of the proposed railroad preemption sequence is marked as Exhibit B and attached hereto:
- the Department proposes to replace the two existing far side overhead traffic signals for northbound traffic on IL159, whii are mounted on a separate cantilever approximately four feet in front of an existing railroad cantilever, with two overhead traffic signals mounted on the same cantilever as the railroad flashing lights: in addition the Department proposes that one set of railroad flashing lights be retained on the cantilever arm and two sets of railroad flarhing lights be retained on the supporting post of the cantilever.
- of IL 159 end Douglas Avenue to enter the yellow clearance interval of the railroad preemption sequence of operation after the minimum 1 second delay, if the traffic signals for IL159 have been in the green phase for less than 1 second at the time the railroad flashing light signals are activated, and/or the 1 second programmed delay, which is utilized to verify the signal received from the railroad equipment. The yellow indication is the clearance interval that is required by the MUTCO before a movement can be terminated with a red indication. The Department further proposes that the yellow clearance interval at this location be programmed in the traffic signal controller to be four (4) seconds. The Commission finds that these sequencing and signalization modifications are in the interest of public safety and convenience:
- highway traffic signals and reilroad fleshing lithts provide different traffic control indications to motorists: highway traffic signals indicate a motorist's right-of-way through an intersection and railroad flashing lights indicate

APR-16-2001 11:47

T98-0033

when a motorist should yield for an approaching train at a grade crossing: both types of traffic control devices are required at the subject location due to the close proximity of the IL159 and Douglas Avenue intersection to the Company's grade crossing; the highway traffic signals and railroad fleahing lights have isolated power sources:

- the view of the existing railroad flashing light signals directed at motorists (8) westbound on Douglas Avenue is obstructed by the existing highway traffic signal cantilever; in addition, visual clutter caused by the existing highway traffic signal cantilever located in front of the ralltoad cantiir exists on the south side of the ii.159 grade crossing:
- (9) the aforesaid sight obstruction for motorists wastbound on Douglas Avenue and visual clutter caused by the existing highway and railroad cantilevers located within close proximity of each other will be eliminated if the two far eide overhead traffic signals and the railroad flashing lights, for motorists northbound on L159, are installed on the same cantilever.
 - (10) the Department agrees to pay 100% of the cost to replace the existing railroad centilever located on the south side of the 11.159 grade crossing with a new cantilever that will accommodate the installation of two traffic signal heads and one set of railroad flashing lights on the same cantilever arm: the Department egress to have the two traffic signal heads maintained from a bucket truck; the Department will prohibit its employees or contractor(s) from climbing unto the cantilevared structure as currently done by the Company's employees:
 - the Department agrees to contract with a private company or companies to (11) perform the installation, maintenance and repair work for the treffic signals and traffic signal circuitry on the new railroad cantilever structure;
 - The Department agrees to require its contractor(s) and subcontractors (12)performing the work described in this Order to execute and deliver to Company prior to entering onto Company's property a Right of Entry Agreement in the form as that attached to and made a part of this Order as Exhibit A:
 - (13)The Department and Company have executed a written agreement, dated April 14, 1998, which provides for, inter alia, improvements to the railroad warning devices, to the IL 159 at-grade crossing surface and to the traffic control devices, which are all part of an overall highway widening and

improvement project. This agreement further provides for a division of work end expenses for the proposed improvements;

- (14) the Commission finds the improvement requested in this petition is part of an overall highway widening and improvement project planned by the Department in the interest of public safety and convenience and should be approved:
- (15) Federal Railroad Administration Rule 49 CFR Part 234.225 provides that a highway-tail grade crossing warning system shall be maintained to activate in accordance with the design of the warning system, but in no event shall it provide less than 20 seconds warning time for the normal operation of through trains before the at-grade crossing k occupied by rail traffic:
- The Commission's 91 IL Adm. Code 1635.350 provides that automatic flashing light signals shall be ananged to indicate the approach of trains on all main and auxiliary tracks included between the signals where the speed of trains approaching the at-grade crossing exceeds 5 miles per hour. for not less than 20 seconds before the arrival at the at-grade crossing of the factest train operated over the track;
- the Commksiin finds that it is in the interest of public safety that the current railroad preemption sequence be retained at the subject IL 159 (DOW724 592N)at-grade crossing.

replace the existing railroad cantilever located on the south side of the IL159 grade crossing and the Company shall install a new cantilever that will accommodate the Department's highway widening project; the cantilever shall be designed to allow for the installation of two traffic signal heads on the cantilever arm and shall be equipped with one set of railroad Bashing lights on the cantilever arm and one set of railroad flashing lights on the supporting post for traffic on IL 159 and one set of railroad flashing lights on the supporting post for traffic on Douglas Avenue: and shall be designed to allow for the installation of crossbuck signs for westbound motorists on Douglas. Avenue and for northbound motorists on IL 169; and shall be designed to allow for the installation of traffic control algrais for westbound motorists on iL 169.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Department or the Department's contractor shall install two far aide overhead traffic signals, as proposed by the Department, on the new railroad cantilever herein required and shell remove the existing highway traffic signal cantilever at said location.

if IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Department or the Department's contractor shall install and thereafter maintain all of the highway traffic signals and circuitry herein required by this Order: and the Company shall install and thereafter maintain all of the railroad signals and circuitry herein required by this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the new cantilever is and will remain the property of the Company. The Department shall pay 100% of the cost of this new cantilever including all costs associated with its design and installation, including any necessary flagging work. In the event this new cantilever requires replacement for whatever reason, the cost for he replacement and installation of that cantilever will be apportioned as follows: 2000 the Department; 50% - the Company.

5%

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the Commission that the subject highway-rail grade crossing warning system shall be designed to provide for a minimum of 20 second6 simultaneous preemption time for the normal operation of through trains a the aforesaid IL 158 (DOT 724 592N) at-grade crossing, and shall thereafter be maintained by me Company.

IT IS FURTHER 'ORDERED mat the Company shall not take any action which would result in a reduction of the minimum simultaneous preemption time herein required for the normal operation of through trains at the aforesaid IL 159 (DOT 724 592N) atgrads crossing without approval of the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Company shall comply with applicable Federal Railroad Administration ("FRA") regulations and its operating rules concerning the activation of the warning devices for low speed switching movements or train operations mat require stopping short of the subject IL 169 (DOW724 592N) at grade crossing. Compliance with such FRA regulations and Norfolk Southern operating rules for such train movements shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Company shall post a readily visible notice inside their warning system cabinet at the aforesaid IL 159 (DOT 724 592N) at-grade crossing notifying their personnel not to take any action which would result in a reduction of the simultaneous minimum railroad preemption time herein required without the approval of the Commission. An actual size copy of the notices shall be submitted to the Commission's Railroad Safety Program Administrator for approval.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the proposed railroad preemption sequences provided in Exhibit 8 are hereby approved for the highway traffic control devices at the afomaaid highway intersection of IL 159 and Douglas Avenue.

798-0033

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Department shall post a readily visible notice inside their traffic signal cabinets notifying their personnel not to after the railroad preemption sequences for the traffic signals interconnected with the railroad warning devices at the aforesaid IL 150 (DOT 724 592N) at-grade crossing in a manner which would reduce the amount of time vehicles encroaching the track zone would have to clear the tracks without the approval of the Commission. An actual size copy of the notices shall be submitted to the Commission's Railroad Safety Program Administrator for approval.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Department end Company shall each perform their work herein required within eighteen (18) months from the date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Company, in consultation with the Department, shall design the railroad centilever herein required to meet the specifications, requirements and needs of the Compeny, Department and the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Department's contractor shall be responsible for all traffic signal installation, maintenance, repair and replacement and that the Compeny shall not be liable for any damages to property or for bodily injury resulting from any traffic signal failure or malfunction.

IT 16 FURTHER ORDERED that the Company shall within ninety (90) days from the date of this Order file a Form 8 of 92 III, Adm. Code 1535 with the Commission showing details of the cantilever installation herein required. While includes the Department's traffic signal mounting details and shall receive approval by X-Resolution before commencing the work of installation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Department or the Department's contractor shall notify the Company at least 7 days prior to commencing the traffic signal installation workherein required.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Department or the Department's contractor shall notify the Company prior to performing maintenance work to the traffic signals herein required.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Department and Company shall each within six (6) months from the date of this Order furnish to the Director of Processing, Transportation Division of the Commission a written report stating the progress each hab made toward the completion of their work herein required.

APR-16-2001

T28-0033

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Department and Company shall each netify the Director of Processing, Transportation Division of the Commission within five (5) days of completion of their work herein required.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that subject to Section 18c-2201 and 18c-2206 of the Law, this is a final decision of the Commission subject to Administrative Review Law.

By Order of the Commasion this 18th day of October, 2000.

ENVARIER

Chairman

Behalf Markin

. - 8 -

217 782 7990

RIGHT OF ENTRY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT, made this ______ day of _______ 2000, by and between the Norfelk Southern Railway / Company ("NS"), and a(n) ______ corporation, ("Indemnitor"). NS and Indemnitor are hereinafter sometimes individually referred to as e "Party" and jointly referred to as the "Parties".

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Indemnitor desires to enter upon that portion of NS's right-of-way property located at Douglas Avenue and Illinois Route 150 in Belleville. Illinois depicted in Exhibit "A" attached to and made a part of this Agreement ("Premises") for the purpose of installing, maintaining and/or repairing traffic signals on the NS cantilever located on the Premises ("Permitted Activities").

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the above stated recitals which are by this reference hereby incorporated into this Agreement and the mutual promises and agreements set forth below, the sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged by the Parties, NS and Indamnitor agree as follows,

- 1. NS hereby agrees to permit Indomnitor to enter upon" the Premises commencing on the effective date Of this agreement, to conduct the Permitted Activities and for no other purpose whatsoever subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement.
- 2. Indemnitor agrees to reimburse NS for all costs and expenses incurred in connection with the use of NS's personnel and equipment as a direct result of the Permitted Activities.
- 3. To the fullest extent permitted by law, indemnitor agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the Company and its officers, employees and agents from and against all claims, damages, losses and expenses, including but not limited to attorneys' fees arising out of or resulting from the performance Of the work by the Indemnitor on or about the company's property; provided that any such claim, damage, loss or expense is attributable to bodily injury, sickness, disease or death or to injury to or destruction of tangible property including the loss of use resulting therefrom, and further provided that the indemnitor shall not be obligated to indemnify and hold harmless the Company from

EXHIBIT A

P. 13/16

officers, employees or agents of the Company. The indemnification and held harmless provisions set forth in this agreement shall not be construed as an indemnification or hold harmless against and from the negligence of the Company with respect to any construction work performed by the Company's contractor or those performing on behalf of or with the authority of the Company's contractor to the extent that such is in violation of the lilinois Construction Contract Indemnification for Negligence Act. 740 ILCS 35/0.01 at seq.

- 4. Prior lo entering upon the Premises of commencing the Permitted Activities, indemnitor agrees to furnish insurenceto NS in form and in such amounts as set forth in Sections 107.11 and 107.27 of the Illinois Department of Transportation's Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction, adopted January 1, 1997 ("IDOT Regulations"), and shall deliver to NS Risk Management Department certificates of insurence or such other documentation acceptable to NS's Risk Management Department evidencing the acquisition of the required insurence end showing NS as additional insureds.
- 5. Indemnitor agrees to and shall comply with the requirements of Sections 106.02, 105.07, 107.01, 107.04, 107.12, 107.20, 107.28 and 107.31 of the IDOT Regulations during the course of Indemnitor's performance of the Permitted Activities on the Premises.
- 8. Indemnitor shall not place, keep, store or otherwise permit to be placed, kept or stored on the Premises any equipment or materials except during such time as indemnitor's employees, agents, contractor's of subcontractors ore physically present and conducting activities permitted under this Agreement.
- 7. Any rights to the Premises not specifically granted to Indemnitor herein, are reserved to NS, its successors and assigns.
- 8. This Agreement and the rights and obligations accruing hereunder are binding upon the successors and assigns NS and indemnitor. This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Illinois. This Agreement together with the Exhibits attached hereto, constitutes the entire Agreement between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof. If any provision of this Agreement or any paragraph, sentence, clause, phrase or word or the application thereof is hald invalid, the remainder of this Agreement shall be and remain Valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by

T98-0033 **EXHIBIT A**

law provided that such exclusion does not unfairly prejudice the rights of either Party to Agreement. this

All notices demands, elections, and other instruments required or permitted a be given or made by either Party upon the other under the terms of this Agreement or any statute shall be in writing. Such communications shall be deemed to have been sufficiently served if sent by commercial courlar, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, with proper postage prepaid at the respective addresses shown below or to such other party or address as either Party may from tie to time furnish to the other in writing. Such notices, demands, elections and other instruments shall be considered as delivered to recipient on the day of delivery if sent by commercial courier, on the second business day after deposit in the U.S. Mail if sent by certified or registered mall or on the first business day after successful transmission if sent by facsimile transmission.

(a) Notices to NS shall be sent to: Gary Wy.

VICE President, Norfolk Southern Railway Co. Union four fire Participation

Street, S.W.

Atlanta, Georgia 30503

404-529-1700

99 Soring Company, 14th

Dodg & Strat

(chone #) Omha, Nebarks

Zip

(b) Notices to Indemnitor shall be sent to:

(phone #)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be duty executed by their duly authorized officers on the day and year first written above.

> NORFOLK SOUTHERN UNION PACIFIC
>
> VAY
>
> RAILREAD COMPANY
>
> OMPANY
>
> INdumnitor RALWAY

Indemnitor

COMPANY

T98-0C33	EXHIB	IΤA
8y:	By:	
Its:	lb:	
ATTEST:	AI-EST:	
Ву:	Ву:	
Its:		

EXHIBITE!

IL 169 @ Douglas Street

AMENDED PROPOSED MINIMUM PREEMPTION TIME

	TIME NEEDED			
Delay	1			
Minimum Green (sec)	1			
Yellow interval (sec)	3.5			
All Red interval (sec)	2.0			
MaximumTime Prior to Track Clear Phase	7.5			
Track Clearance (sec) (not applicable)	0			
Separation Time	9.0			
Min RR Winning Hime Required (16/6) 66(3)(6) 3-26(6)	7000 cm			

T-FRA requirements mandate a Minimum Preemption Time of no less than 20 seconds.



Telefax Cover Letter

				Data:	4/16/01		
To: Mach H. Shumate, Jr.			Bureau/District:	N/A			
Phone Number	31 2-853-8455	<u> </u>	Company:	Union Pacific Railroad Co.			
Room Number:			TelefaxNo.:	312-853-8465			
From: Yopes	sh Gautam, P.E		Bureau/District:	Central Burea	u of Operations (Traffic)		
Telephone Number:	(217)782-3452	_	Telefax No.:	(217) 782-7990			
Room Number: <u>009, H</u>	anley Bldg., Sprir	ngfield	E-mail:	gautamy	o@nt.dot.state.il.us		
Number of Pages Including	This Cover Sheet:		16				
Subject: US 14 at E. Hillside Ave/ Eastern Ave, Railroad-traffic signal interconnect							
Comments: Dear Mr. Sh	umate:						
Pursuant to your conversati		accompan	ying this cover sheet	is the ICC's Orde	er for IL 159 @ Douglas		
Ave. Yogesh Gautam							
It there ars any problems	upon receipt of t h	is transmi	ission, please call th	ne sender imme	diately.		
Originals to be returned:	Yes□	No 🗆					
Confirmation copy needed:	Yes□	No 🔲					
Other instructions or request	rs: CC: Ker	n Wood					
Note to Sender: RDD DCG	emoveanystaples onot use colored pen ocuments must be si onvert two sided orig	ncil or other l ingle side co inals to sing	ight colored pens py le side before transmitt	ing			

AFS2167 (Rev. 1/93)